



Western Manitoba Science Fair

Judging Booklet

Thank you for your interest in judging at the WMSF! This is one of the most important roles at the fair, and the success of the fair is largely due to a job well done by our judges. The purpose of the science fair is to give young people the opportunity to do hands on STEM projects. You represent several important roles to the participants - facilitator, motivator, role model, counselor, and evaluator. Your most important task is to encourage and motivate the participants. Even those whose projects are of modest quality should finish the day with a sense of accomplishment and pride.

WMSF project judging places focuses on evaluating the projects and ranking them in relation to other projects in the same age group, rather than 'scoring' projects and tallying numbers. As you will read in this booklet, four criteria/categories are used to evaluate each project. Within these categories, the judges assign a Level and Rating for each project. These levels and ratings are used firstly to allow each judge to decide in a systematic way whether project A is better than project B. Secondly, the same Levels and Ratings are used comparatively between judges in each judging group to determine medal winners among highest level projects.

The project judging can be a challenging process for some students, especially for young or first time students, though most enjoy the chance to discuss their work with someone who is both knowledgeable and sympathetic. Remember to be encouraging and positive in your dealings with the finalists. The contact these young scientists have with you may be the spark that excites them to continue their studies in science.

What You'll Find in This Booklet:

- Level and Rating - describes the four categories used to evaluate each project
- Awarding Medals - guidelines for choosing and ranking the medal winning projects
- Project Feedback - emphasizes the importance of and format for leaving feedback
- Project Evaluation - concepts to keep in mind when evaluating the projects and assigning the Levels and Ratings to each project

Workflows for Judges - includes instructions, judging rubric workflow, and judging summary form workflow

Appendices - examples of good feedback by grade level, and project report template

Level and Rating

The following four criteria/categories are used to evaluate each project:

Part A: Scientific Thought and Understanding - 40% approximate overall weighting for project

This is the most important criterion for judging a project's merit. The major purpose of the Science Fair is to provide a vehicle for the student to engage in the process of science through a Discovery or an Innovation. In a Discovery project, the project seeks to add to human knowledge by carrying out original research, or by synthesizing and analyzing data from a variety of sources. In an Innovation project, the project seeks to solve a practical problem by developing and evaluating a new device, studying a model of a real-world system, or devising a new technique or method to address shortcomings of existing techniques or methods.

Such processes are meaningless if they are not accompanied by scientific thinking. Once results are obtained, devices built or data analysed, it is the interpretation of those results that is significant. Some aspects of scientific thought include:

- a hypothesis or project design that is clear and well stated based on reading, study, and/or observation. The depth of study is a factor here.
- an experimental procedure that is effective in testing the hypothesis, or an innovative design that is an effective solution to the problem posed, or a study designed to produce significant new insights.
- results and conclusions that are clear, honestly stated, logical, and relevant to the project.
- a clear discussion of any experimental results, design or data analysis.
- carefully considered suggestions for extending the project.
- a demonstration of the deep knowledge of the scientific and/or engineering principles involved.
- a careful extrapolation from what was learned to the subject in general or to related subjects.

Part B: Originality & Creativity – 20% approximate overall weighting for project

Science Fair projects are not expected to be publishable research (although some are). However, originality or creativity is possible even if the project is relatively trivial scientifically or covers well-trodden ground. It is important to take the grade level and age of the finalist into consideration. What is new and creative for a finalist in Grade 7 might well be superficial for a high school finalist in Grade 12. Some aspects of originality/creativity include:

- an original problem or an original approach to an old problem.
- a creative approach to the design of the experiment, the innovation, or the project overall.
- an ingenious use of materials and equipment.
- creative or original thinking in the application and the interpretation of any data obtained.
- a project that goes beyond textbooks written at the finalist's grade level.

Part C: Communication - 20% approximate overall weighting for project

Communication is composed of three components: the visual display, the oral presentation, the project report (report is mandatory for grades 7 - 12, and encouraged for grades 5 and 6).

Visual Display: A good display tells the story of the project in a logical progression. It uses headings, bullet points, graphs and text in appropriate ways. It can easily be read from a distance of approximately 1 metre away. Judges may evaluate the Visual Display in the absence of the students immediately following the Judges Orientation.

Oral Presentation: The presenter is logical and enthusiastic. The five minute introduction is well thought out and rehearsed, but not memorized verbatim. Questions are handled clearly and show sound knowledge of the project and the associated background. Presenter should be able to transition seamlessly between their presentation and relevant questions throughout the judging process.

Logbook: This could be a binder, duotang, or digital collection of all rough work, research, calculations, and raw data that a student has collected.

Project Report: Mandatory for grades 7 - 12, and encouraged for grades 5 and 6, the project report is submitted with the entry form and will be circulated to judges a few days before the fair to review. It's important to note that the project report is meant to be a summary of the project, and might not contain a lot of scientific or technical data. Rather, it should tell the story of the project with clarity. Longer is not necessarily better here. Students should include a bibliography/references, and grades 7-12 are encouraged to use APA format for references. Please see Appendix 2 for the template of the Project Report.

Part D: Mentorship - 20% approximate overall weighting for project

Science fair projects from time to time will be mentored, or receive outside assistance. It is important for judges to understand that **mentorship is not at all discouraged**; it can be a useful way for students to conduct research and gain knowledge pertaining to their project. However, it becomes a problem when the student is trying to present information on their project that they do not understand themselves or work they did not do themselves. The purpose for having this mentorship category is to allow the judges to judge the project on its merits alone, and not have to worry about how to adjust the other three categories' ratings if a project was mentored. With this category system, if the judge feels there was mentorship involved, the adjustment is made at the end, and does not affect the other three categories. The other purpose of this mentorship category is to prevent a judge from over penalizing a project for having been mentored, as it only carries a 20% overall importance weighting.

A judge **only** needs to concern him/herself with mentorship if it is clear that the student does not completely understand their project. As long as the student is very knowledgeable in the subject, and can answer all questions about information presented in the project, then it is considered Level 4 - the same level as a non-mentored project.

Important Note: judges can assume that the majority of the projects will rank a full Level 4 here (see rubric), however if a student shows a lack of knowledge in their presentation that is due to mentorship, then the judge may look at assigning a lower level based on the rubric.

Awarding Medals

After all of the projects have been judged, the judges will compare with each other (within their judging group) their Levels and Ratings on the projects to decide which projects are the medal winners. At this time, the judges may decide that it is appropriate to go back to take another look at a few of the projects if necessary in the ranking process. The goal is to award approximately 40% of the projects in each judging group with Medals. 10% of the projects with Gold Medals, 15% with Silver Medals, and 15% with Bronze Medals. Once the judging group has chosen the top 40% projects, they can then decide on the ranking of the medals for those projects.

Project Feedback

Completing the feedback sections on the Judging Summary Form is an important part of the role of a judge. Following each interview, it is important that each judge make a few feedback notes to later be expanded on the Feedback Form. After judging is complete, and after your judging team has ranked its projects, each judge will take responsibility for completing the feedback forms for their judged projects.

- Write in paragraphs using full sentences, not in bullet points.
- Describe the strengths of the project. Find three things to praise.
- Describe the suggestions for improvement or further work.
- Comment on whether or not the project has followed guidelines laid out in the participant guide (safety guidelines, etc).

Example: Good Feedback

Strengths: This project takes the pinhole camera to a new level. You have developed an elegant theory, and then tested it in a series of clever experiments, and showed how to obtain the clearest picture, by changing the diameter of the hole. We enjoyed the way in which you compared your theoretical approach with that of the classical approach due to Rayleigh.

Suggestions: You might want to investigate the rich history of the pinhole camera. A collage of pictures taken with it would add interest to the display.

Example: Bad Feedback

Strengths:

- Good project
- Liked your display

Suggestions:

- Be more assertive
- Make eye contact with the judge

For detailed examples of good feedback for different age levels please see Appendix 1.

Project Evaluation

The next few items refer to aspects of evaluation, which may be helpful to you as you assign your Level and Rating.

Organization and Completion

Good organization is part of conducting an effective investigation. This includes a clear objective, a plan for carrying out that objective, well-organized and comprehensible data, and a lucid discussion of experimental conclusions and implications. This means, too, that the investigation will have been completed and not simply ended because the finalist may have run out of time. In other words, the project should represent a completed body of work even if the results do not support the hypothesis. Finally, the implications of the project need to be addressed.

Some aspects of organization and completion include:

- Well-defined goal/objective. This can be embodied in the hypothesis or consist of additional statements regarding the project goals.
- Well-organized and executed experimental procedures.
- Data recorded in orderly manner.
- Experiments repeated as needed.
- Project represents a completed body of work.
- Implications of the project fully addressed.
- Well-organized display board.

Effort and Motivation

One measure of this is the amount of time spent on the project, including background reading and project execution. More difficult to determine, but possibly more important, are the depth of reading and resulting project quality as well as what the finalist learned from his/her experience. An additional measure of effort is the quality of the display, particularly its effectiveness in communicating. To the extent that an attractive display may communicate more effectively and indicate greater effort, that aspect also may be considered. Some aspects of effort and motivation include:

- Amount of time spent on project.
- Amount of time spent on background reading and study.
- Extent to which the depth of background reading and study was reflected in the project.
- What finalist learned.
- Display board informative and attractive.

Clarity

Although clarity is a theme found in all of the judging criteria, it applies specifically to certain elements such as notebooks. Some aspects of clarity include:

- Original project notebook available for inspection.
- Project notebook clear, well organized and accurate.
- Hypothesis, purpose, procedures, results, and conclusions clearly stated.
- Project title accurately portrays the project.
- Abstract clear and well written.
- Oral presentations are clear.
- Audio-visual materials, including the display board, clear and relevant.

Adherence to the WMSF Policies and Guidelines

Please keep in mind when reading the following section, that the science fair is meant to be a learning experience for the students. While we want them to be adhering to our policies and guidelines, and for our judges to be encouraging them to do so, we don't want to see a well meaning student overly punished for mistakenly not adhering to rules. Use a common sense approach when implementing the following.

It is important that our judges are familiar with WMSF policies and safety regulations so that they can use this as another tool to recognize the students who have gone to the effort to follow these policies and regulations. These can be found in the [WMSF Guide](#). A few points to keep in mind here:

- Academic Integrity: Plagiarism or fabricating/falsifying data and other violations listed in the WMSF Guide
- Ethics: Any project that involves working with humans or animals are subject to the WMSF Ethics policies. More info can be found on our website www.wmsf.com/ethics and in the [WMSF guide](#). There are various levels of human or

- animal participation and various requirements depending on the level.
- Artificial Intelligence: Students who use Generative Artificial Intelligence tools for any part of their project must follow the AI guidelines outlined in the WMSF Guide. They must cite accordingly.
- Safety Regulations: We expect students to follow our Project Safety Regulations found in the WMSF Guide, including the following things which should not be brought to the fair:
 - No flammable liquids, gas cylinders or open flames
 - No dangerous chemicals
 - No bacteria or tissues
 - No live plants or animals, or mounted specimens or animal parts
 - For projects involving any of the above, photos should be taken of the experiments and displayed at the fair rather than bringing the physical objects to the fair. **It is important that judges do NOT encourage participants to bring these types of things to the fair as a way to improve their presentation, as that is against our regulations. Participants should be rewarded for following the regulations and presenting their projects within those parameters.**

Judging different age groups and Consistency among Judges

It is important that judges pay particular attention to placing the projects in the appropriate level using the rubric. The only way to achieve consistency between judges is if the judges correctly use the level descriptions in the rubric and place each project in the appropriate level. Using the rubric correctly will result in the most consistent and fair judging of the students' projects. For example, a project in a younger age group is unlikely to ever be placed in a level 3 or higher. This is not to say that project is not deserving of a medal, but simply that the rubric is designed to also accommodate more sophisticated projects from older students.

Comparing projects with widely different levels of sophistication

Sometimes finalists have access to sophisticated laboratories, have advanced scientific equipment available to them, and/or carry out their projects under the guidance of a professional scientist. Comparing such projects with those done in a home environment can be difficult. As a judge, you should not be in the position of assuming that a project would have been better or worse with or without the advantages of better equipment or instruction.

The critical issue here is not the level of the tools used. Rather, it is what the finalist has done with the resources at his/her disposal. If advanced instrumentation is used to further a strong scientific investigation, and that is clearly communicated in the interview, such a project should do well. However, a finalist who does better science and has superior understanding but used only items found in an ordinary kitchen deserves a better rating. The use of sophisticated equipment in a weak project and/or by a finalist who does not understand the scientific principles involved should receive little or no credit.

It is important that the finalist's knowledge should be appropriate to the project and its goals. If advanced instrumentation is used, for example, the finalist should be conversant with the principles underlying that use, and how results obtained from the equipment relate to conclusions reached.

Additional Information

It is strongly recommended that in addition to this Judging Booklet, all judges read the WMSF Guide available on our website at www.wmsf.com.

WMSF Judging Schedule

7:45 - 8:00am	Sign in & find judging group
8:00 - 8:30am	Judges Orientation
8:30 - 9:00am	Find projects on judging floor, read backboards, log books (students might not be present).
9:00 - 12:30	Judging (students will be present)
11:30 onward	Work with judging group to decide on medal winners, complete feedback forms, complete judging group checklists. Students will be at projects until 12:30 if you need to go back.
11:30pm	Lunch served

All forms must be complete and handed in to a WMSF committee member by 2 pm

Workflow for Judging Forms

The new judging form explores 4 categories of criteria: Scientific Thought, Originality & Creativity, Communication, and Mentorship. Use the attached Project Judging Rubric Form to assign a Level to Parts A, B, C, and D for the project. In addition to the Level, please enter a letter rating: H (High), M (Medium), L (Low) that reflects the quality of the project and its strength relative to other projects you have assigned the same level.

Part A. Scientific Thought - 40% weighting

- First, categorize the project as one of the following:
 - Discovery
 - Innovation
- Second, choose a level (1 through 4) by working your way down the category column on the Project Judging Rubric Form.
- Third, enter a letter rating: H (High), M (Medium), L (Low) within that level, based on the quality of the project and its strength relative to other projects in the same level. Record both the level, and letter on the Judging Summary Form.

Part B. Originality & Creativity - 20% weighting

- First, choose a level (1 through 4) by using the Project Judging Rubric Form.
- Second, enter a letter rating: H (High), M (Medium), L (Low) within that level. Record on Judging Summary Form.

Part C. Communication - 20% weighting

- First, choose a level (1 through 4) by using the Project Judging Rubric Form.
- Second, enter a letter rating: H (High), M (Medium), L (Low) within that level. Record on Judging Summary Form.

Part D. Mentorship - 20% weighting

The amount of mentorship provided to students will be determined and used to place the project into one of the 4 levels of mentorship. It is important to note that even if the project is mentored, as long as the student shows a complete understanding of the project no deduction will be given. Use the Project Judging Rubric Form to determine the level (1 through 4) of mentorship. Record on Judging Summary Form.

Feedback Notes (On Judging Summary Form)

The feedback section on the Judging Summary Form is used to make notes which later can be expanded in full on the Feedback Form. It is **VERY** important to leave adequate and constructive feedback for **EVERY** project. A copy of the Feedback Form will be sent to each student.

Blank copies of all judging forms can be found on our website at www.wmsf.com/judging.

Project Judging Rubric Form- Workflow

PART A: SCIENTIFIC THOUGHT - First choose which ONE of the following two categories the project falls under, then work down that column to determine the level:	
Discovery	Innovation
The project seeks to add to existing knowledge by synthesizing and analyzing information from a variety of sources.	
Choose the category (Discovery or Innovation) that best fits the project, then work down that category column.	
LEVEL 1	LEVEL 1
Replicate a known experiment to confirm previous findings, or collate data from a variety of sources without further analysis. Statements about the significance of the work are limited and show little awareness of context. For projects incorporating Indigenous Traditional Knowledge, the project has little importance to the land and community.	Build a model or device to duplicate existing technology or to demonstrate a well-known theory or social/behavioural intervention. For projects incorporating Indigenous Traditional Knowledge, the project has little importance to the land and community.
LEVEL 2	LEVEL 2
Improve or demonstrate modest improvements to the process or application of existing technology or behavioural interventions, existing theories or equipment, and justify them. For projects incorporating Indigenous Traditional Knowledge, the project may have importance to the land and community and is somewhat holistic in its approach.	Improve or demonstrate new applications for existing technological systems, social or behavioural interventions, existing theories or equipment, and justify them. For projects incorporating Indigenous Traditional Knowledge, the project may have importance to the land and community and is somewhat holistic in its approach.
LEVEL 3	LEVEL 3
Design and build innovative technology; or provide adaptations to existing technology or to social or behavioural interventions; or extend or create new theory. Human benefit, advancement of knowledge, and/or economic applications should be evident. For projects incorporating Indigenous Traditional Knowledge, the project has demonstrable importance to the land and community and takes a holistic approach to knowledge creation.	Design and build innovative technology; or provide adaptations to existing technology or to social or behavioural interventions; or extend or create new theory. Human benefit, advancement of knowledge, and/or economic applications should be evident. For projects incorporating Indigenous Traditional Knowledge, the project has demonstrable importance to the land and community and takes a holistic approach to knowledge creation.
LEVEL 4	LEVEL 4
Integrate several technologies, inventions, social/behavioural interventions, or design and construct an innovative application, or propose a new theory that will have human and/or commercial benefit. Performance of the prototype, method or theory is evaluated completely and realistically. Honest comparisons are made to alternative or previous solutions where possible.	Integrate several technologies, inventions, social/behavioural interventions, or design and construct an innovative application, or propose a new theory that will have human and/or commercial benefit. Performance of the prototype, method or theory is evaluated completely and realistically. Honest comparisons are made to alternative or previous solutions where possible.

PART B: ORIGINALITY & CREATIVITY			
LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
The project design is simple with little evidence of student imagination. The design can be found in books or magazines.	The project design is simple with some imagination. The topic is a current or common one.	This imaginative project makes creative use of materials. The design is above average.	This highly original project demonstrates a high level of imagination. It shows resourcefulness in the design, use of equipment, construction and/or the analysis.

PART C: COMMUNICATION			
The level is based on four elements: visual display, oral presentation, project report with background research, and logbook.			
LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Most or all of the four elements are simple, unsubstantial or incomplete. There is little evidence of attention to effective communication. In a pair project, one member may have dominated the presentation.	Some of the four elements are simple, unsubstantial or incomplete, but there is evidence of student attention to communication. In a pair project, one member made an equitable contribution to the presentation.	All four elements are complete and demonstrate attention to detail and substance. The communication components are each well thought out and presented. In a pair project, both members made an equitable contribution to the presentation.	All four elements are complete and exceed reasonable expectations of a student at this age/grade. The visual display is logical and self-explanatory, and the exhibit is well presented. The project report and logbook are complete, well written, and extend beyond web-based articles. The oral presentation is clear, logical, and enthusiastic. In a group project, both members contributed equitably and effectively to the presentation.

PART D: MENTORSHIP			
LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
The project is mentored. The student has limited knowledge of the subject, and the student cannot answer all questions about information presented in the project.	The project is mentored. The student has some knowledge of the subject, and the student can answer some questions about information presented in the project.	The project is mentored. The student has a good knowledge of the subject, and the student can answer most questions about information presented in the project.	The project is not mentored, or the student has a very good knowledge of the subject, and the student can answer all questions about information presented in the project.

Project Judging Summary Form



Project Name: _____

Project Number: _____

Part A: Scientific Thought		<div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; border-radius: 15px; padding: 10px; background-color: #d9e1f2;"> Use this area to make notes regarding information or details that you feel is important to the judging of the project. </div>
<div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; border-radius: 10px; padding: 5px; background-color: #d9e1f2;"> Record the level you chose using the Judging Form, and then assign a letter (H, M, L) within that level that reflects the quality of the </div>	Level (1-4) Letter (H, M, L)	
Part B: Originality & Creativity		
<div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; border-radius: 10px; padding: 5px; background-color: #d9e1f2;"> Record the level you chose using the Judging Form, and then assign a letter (H, M, L) within that level that reflects the quality of the </div>	Level (1-4) Letter (H, M, L)	
Part C: Communication		
<div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; border-radius: 10px; padding: 5px; background-color: #d9e1f2;"> Record the level you chose using the Judging Form, and then assign a letter (H, M, L) within that level that reflects the quality of the </div>	Level (1-4) Letter (H, M, L)	
Part D: Mentorship		
<div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; border-radius: 10px; padding: 5px; background-color: #d9e1f2;"> Record the level of mentorship for the project using the Judging Form. </div>	Level (1-4)	
Feedback Notes - record your feedback notes for the project here. You can use these notes to record your full feedback on the Feedback Form, which will be sent to the student after the fair. This page does not go to the student.		
Strengths		
<div style="border: 1px solid #ccc; border-radius: 15px; padding: 10px; background-color: #d9e1f2; width: fit-content; margin: auto;"> Use this area to give feedback to the student about the strengths of their project and recommendations on how they might improve their project. This section must be completed. This is the most important section of the judging form as it is how the students get feedback on their hard work. </div>		
Recommendations		
Judge's Name (Please Print)		Judge's Signature

Feedback for the Finalist(s) - It is **VERY** important to leave adequate and constructive feedback for **EVERY** project. A copy of the Project Summary Form will be sent to each student.



34102

Wand Design - Which Material Works Best?

3-4

Individual

Potter, Harry

Hogwarts School

Thank you for the incredible dedication and hard work that went into your project. Your curiosity and passion for science have made this event a success!

Feedback Form for the Finalist(s) - A copy of this Feedback page will be sent to each student.

FEEDBACK FOR THE EXHIBITOR(S)

Strengths

Use this page to expand your feedback notes from the Judging Summary Form. It is VERY important that you leave complete and adequate feedback for every project. A copy of this page (the Feedback Form) will be sent to each student after the fair.

Recommendations

Judge's Name:

APPENDIX 1 - Examples of good feedback by grade level

Grade 1-2

Strengths:

I was glad to see you two extend what you already knew about volcanoes and apply it to a new situation – that's very creative! I also like the care you took in making your rocket look nice, and I thought your astronaut was an excellent addition to the project. I think using a variety of sources, like the book and the show you saw, are a great way to get inspiration and I am happy to see you make it your own.

Recommendations:

Next time, I would like you guys to focus on the application section. Application is when you take the new things you found out, and apply it to the world around you.

Great job! Your energy shone through all your hard work.

Grade 3-4

Strengths:

Very thorough scientific process! The way that you manipulated ratios was an original idea, and it was also nice to see you include different perspectives in your hypothesis statements! I found the way that you also drew different conclusions from the different situations was nice to see! Also – great work applying what you learned in class to your own experimental method.

Recommendations:

I think you girls started to extend your knowledge already, which is amazing, so I think you can take it even further – after you leave the oobleck out overnight, can you create oobleck again? You could come up with some further research questions such as if water temperature would make a difference to take your project to the next level.

There is a lot of great work here and a lot to be proud of!

Grade 5-6

Strengths:

Good use of scientific vocabulary including the states of matter and changes in state, and good use of measurements in collecting your data (temperature and volume of water). This is a very current and relatable topic and it is clear that you are very interested in it.

Recommendations:

The next step is to find out what causes certain substances to do a poor job of melting ice. You could also include multiple trials of each substance and find the averages. The averages could be displayed in a graph.

Grade 7-12

Strengths:

My first impression is that your board is very well laid out! You have a strong and confident speaking voice; I could tell you were very excited to present and were passionate about your project – try relying less on your board to present.

Great hypothesis and thank you for thoroughly explaining your method. It was great to see that you repeated your experiment twice to ensure you had more accurate findings – testing different length of cords.

Recommendations:

A suggestion to take this project a step further would be to run the experiment with a generator, I know you mentioned that it's not an environmentally friendly option but it would be interesting to see if there is/isn't a benefit to that source of energy vs your solar panels. Also, it would've been great to see the charts you created on your board as well as taking that information to develop a graph for a visual finding. I think it's great how you have a plan in mind to further your project with the hair dryer experiment.

APPENDIX 2 - Project Report Template

This is the template the students will be using to submit their Project Reports

Here is where you tell us all about your Science Fair Project! This is mandatory for grades 7-12 and encouraged for grades 5 and 6. There are instructions for each section, and at the end you can add up to three images or files. These project reports will be distributed to the judges before the fair so this is your chance to make your first impression! This project report is meant to be an overview, so don't worry if you can't fit all of your results or information in here; you will be able to present that to the judges in person at the fair.

Summary - (Max 150 words). Give us a brief summary of your project. A recommended format would be:

- One or two sentences to introduce the question or problem and spark interest
- One or two sentences describing what you did
- One or two sentences summarizing the main results or explaining your solution
- One or two sentences describing the importance of your work

Why? Tell us your story! (Max 250 words). You can use sections such as purpose, hypothesis and background information, or a more narrative approach. Some ideas you could include:

- Why did you do this project?•What or who inspired you to do this project?
- What question were you trying to answer or what problem were you trying to solve?
- Who could benefit from your project?
- How can it make the world a better place?

How? Tell us how you performed your experiment or develop your solution. (Max 300 words). You can use sections such as materials, methods, procedures, design process and testing procedure, or a more narrative approach. Some ideas you could include:

- How did you do your background research?
- How did you identify relevant and trustworthy sources of information?
- What was your experiment or design process?
- How did you design and test your solution or prototype?
- What materials did you use?
- How did you collect your data?
- How many samples did you test?
- How did you control the variables?

What? Tell us your results! What did you find out? (Max 500 words). You can use sections such as results and analysis, or a more narrative approach. Some ideas you could include:

- What are the main results or findings of your project?
- How does your prototype work?
- Discuss your results.
- If you used statistics, explain why you chose the methods you used.

So What? Tell us why your results are important and what they mean. (Max 250 words). You can use sections such as discussion and conclusion, or a more narrative approach. Some ideas you could include:

- What are the conclusions you can draw from your results?
- What did you learn from your results?

Additional Thoughts? Here's your chance to reflect on your project. (Max 100 words). Some ideas you could include:

- What could you have done differently?
- How could you improve your project?
- What might the next steps be if you extended your project?
- What future research has your project inspired you to do?

Bibliography/ReferencesAll ideas, thoughts, data, statements or images that are not uniquely your own should be included in your bibliography and/or referenced. For grades 7-12 APA formatting is encouraged for referencing.